

UNITED S.ATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/949,85	0 10/14/97	SOLANKI -		D.	356952000100	
- CHARLES D HOLLAND		MM71/1222 —		EXAMINER		
MORRISON	& FOERSTER		·	NGU, H		
	MILL ROAD CA 94304-101	8		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•					/7 12/22/98	
				DATE MAILED:	12/22/70	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary



Application No. Applicant(s) 08/949,850

Examiner

Group Art Unit

1.00	RIBUU	11841114	11111111111	BECHUTT
- 11				
- 115				
111				

Solanki et al

	Hung V Ngo	2831	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excel in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	ot for formal matters, prosecuti 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ion as to the me	rits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fall application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extra 37 CFR 1.136(a).	lure to respond within the period	od for response v	vill cause the
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are	pending in the a	application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are v	vithdrawn from (consideration.
Claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		•	o.
X Claims 23-44			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examiner. is Dapproved er. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)- es of the priority documents ha Number) the International Bureau (PCT) riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	eve been _ · Rule 17.2(a)).	·

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - I. Figures 1 and 2
 - II. Figures 5a-5c

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, None of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 GFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 08/949850

Art Unit: 2831

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Charles D. Holland on 12-17-98 to request an oral election

to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37

CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 308-7614. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and alternate Friday from 8:00 am to 05:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431 or (703) 305-3432.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Wristine Xincaid
Kristine Kincaid
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

HVN

December 17, 1998